

Supplement file

1. Quality assessment

[illegible]

[illegible]

Preference-based outcomes	N/A	N/A	N/A	✓	N/A	N/A	N/A	✓	✓	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Costs	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Currency, date, and conversion	✓	✓	x	x	✓	✓	✓	N/A	x	✓	✓	x	x	x
Choice of model	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	N/A	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Assumptions	✓	✓	#	✓	✓	✓	✓	N/A	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Analytical methods	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	N/A	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Study parame ters	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Increm ental costs	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Charact erising uncerta inty	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Charact erising heterog eneity	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Finding s and limitati ons	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Fundin g source	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	x
Conflict s of interest	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	x	✓	✓	✓	x	✓	x

Total Score	94%	96%	87.5%	96%	96%	96%	96%	75%	96%	96%	91.6%	85.4%	87.5%	79.2%
--------------------	-----	-----	-------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-------	-------	-------	-------

Scoring Key:

- **✓(1)**: The study fully fulfills the assessment criteria.
- **# (0.5)**: The study partially fulfills assessment criteria, or the information is unclear.
- **X (0)**: The study does not fulfil assessment criteria.
- **N/A (Not applicable)**: The item is not applicable to the study.

2. Inter-Rater Reliability Assessment

Screening Stage	Number of Records Assessed	Agreement	Disagreement	Cohen's Kappa	Interpretation
Title and abstract screening	105	90	15	0.75	Substantial agreement
Full-text eligibility assessment	22	20	2	0.82	Substantial to almost perfect agreement